

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/534,999 | 12/20/2005 | Josef Wimmer | 037068.53616US | 1997 | |
| 23911 CROWELL & | 7590 03/24/200 MORING LLP | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 | | | NGUYEN, 2 | NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 | | | 3683 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/24/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------|---------------|--|--|
| | 10/534,999 | WIMMER ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Lan Nguyen | 3683 | | |

| | Lun riguyon | 0000 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| by | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sinc Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO) w); | TE below); | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying ti | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. | | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). To proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided in | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| | ered but found to be non persuasive the groove in a shaft and a bore who o inhibit rotation. It is believed that 14. In column 1, lines 8-10, Blauro ore, it is believed that rotation would be rejection is still proper and is ma | e. Applicant argues the hile Applicant's invention by filling the void, Black specifically states and not be possible between | et Blaurock's ve ring 14 urock's spacer that the spacer | | | | |
| 13. Other: . | | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. /Xuan Lan Nguyen/ 3-17-08

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3683

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080317